Glaciotectonic structures are widely recognized and well-documented features found in the geological substrate, whether soft sediment or bedrock, deformed by ice-sheet advances (e.g., Banham, 1977; Aber et al., 1989; Lee and Phillips, 2013; Phillips, 2018). These widespread and often spectacular features have been a subject of intense geological and geomorphological investigations since the 19th century (Lyell, 1863; Johnstrup, 1874; Slater, 1926), with the recognition of their geometrical similarities to large-scale, thin-skinned tectonic structures formed by crustal shortening, as in fold and thrust belts (cf. Banham, 1975, 1988; Aber, 1982; Croot, 1987; Pedersen, 1987; Van der Wateren, 1995; Phillips and Lee, 2011; Lee et al., 2013, 2017; Phillips et al., 2017). Glaciotectonic deformation structures have been described mainly from areas that were glaciated during the Pleistocene ice-sheet advances, but many analogous structures have also been reported from Palaeozoic (e.g., Rocha-Campos et al., 2000; Girard et al., 2015) and even Precambrian rocks that were influenced by ancient glaciations (Edwards, 1978). The origin and evolution of glaciotectonic deformation structures have been the subject of numerous worldwide discussions (e.g., Banham, 1975; Aber, 1982; Van der Wateren, 1985; Croot, 1987), including Polish literature (Rotnicki, 1976; Jaroszewski, 1991; Krysiak, 2007; Włodarski, 2009). Although controversies persist and there are various models for the development of these structures, it is widely accepted that glaciotectonic features are among the most useful
indicators of ice-push directions that may aid spatial-temporal reconstructions of the glacier-advance stress conditions and patterns of ice dynamics (e.g., Ehlers, 1990; McCarroll and Rijsdijk, 2003; Pedersen, 2005; Aber and Ber, 2007; Lee et al., 2013; Dove et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2017; Roman, in press).

Glaciotectonic structures in Quaternary deposits have been described from many sites in Poland, especially from the Polish Lowlands in the country’s northern to central part (e.g., Ruszczyńska-Szlenajch, 1985; Urbański, 2002, 2007; Ber et al., 2004; Włodarski, 2009; Widera, 2018; Roman, in press), and constitute the most common structural and morphological effect of the Scandinavian Ice-Sheet advances. A summary of the current state of knowledge on large-scale glaciotectonics in Poland is the monograph edited by Ber (2004) and the Glaciotectonic Map of Poland (Ber, 2006). In the area of Lower Silesia, SW Poland, glaciotectonic disturbances have been described in detail from only a few outcrop sites, mainly in the Sudetic Foreland (Mierzejewski, 1959; Wójcik, 1960; Jahn, 1960; Krzyszkowski and Czech, 1995; Krzyszkowski, 1996; Urbański, 2009; Urbański et al., 2011). Current opinions are that the vertical extent of these disturbances does not exceed the depths of 200 m in the northern part of Lower Silesia (Badura and Przybylski, 2002) and ca. 50 m in its southern part (Ber et al., 2004). The spatial and vertical extent of these phenomena is little known in the mountainous southern part of Lower Silesia – the Sudetes area – where glaciotectonic features have been documented from only a few small artificial exposures and single outcrops not exceeding 3 m in height. Documentation includes several papers on small-scale glaciotectonic structures at the top of varved clays in a brickwork in Jelenia Góra, Western Sudetes (Dumanowski, 1961; Jahn, 1976; Wójcik, 1985); a description of glaciotectonic disturbances in small outcrops in the vicinity of Chwaliszów in the Wałbrzych Upland of Central Sudetes (Krzyszkowski and Stachura, 1998); and a cursory mention of glaciotectonic deformations at a poorly specified locality in the vicinity of Wojcieszów in the Kaczawa Mountains of Western Sudetes (Ber et al., 2004). Features of possible glaciotectonic or permafrost origin were described by Krygowski (1952) from the Wał Okmiński area in the Kaczawa Upland of Western Sudetes, about 10 km to the north from the present study site. Urbasński et al. (2011) described Pleistocene glaciotectonic disturbances of Cretaceous sedimentary rocks in the Bolesławiec and Węgliniec area of the Izera Upland in Western Sudetes. No other examples of glaciotectonic deformation have thus far been reported from the mountainous parts of the Sudetes.

The present paper reports on previously undescribed large-scale glaciotectonic deformation features in the Pleistocene deposits of the Odranian (Saalian) Glaciation at the Czaple II Gravel Pit in the Kaczawa Foothills of Western Sudetes. Structural kinematic indicators are used to determine the local direction of ice-sheet horizontal compression. The study contributes to a better understanding of the regional palaeogeography and spatial dynamics of the Odranian ice-sheet advance in the Sudetic region of SW Poland.

GEOLoGICAL AND GEoMORPHOloGICAL SETTING

The Czaple II Gravel Pit is located in the Lower Silesia region of SW Poland, between the towns of Złotoryja and Łwówiec Śląski, at the elevation of 250–270 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1A). In the regional physical-geographical subdivision of Poland (Kondracki, 2002), this region is in the central part of the Kaczawa Foothills, Western Sudetes. The outcrop vicinity is characterized by a hilly landscape with the elevation ranging from 220 m a.s.l. (Osownia valley in Skorzyniec) to 343 m a.s.l. (Kopka hill near Czaple). The gravel pit is located on an elevated denudation plain (270–300 m a.s.l.) with gently inclined slopes, dissected by stream valleys up to 20 m deep and trending WNW–ESE and W–E (see arrows in Fig. 1A). To the north, west and east from the pit, some elongate linear or arcuate ridges stand above the denudation plains to an altitude from 270 m (area of Nowa Wieś Grodziska) to over 308 m a.s.l. (vicinity of Bielanka). The length of these ridges is 0.5 to 2 km and their width is 50–200 m. The ridges are separated by parallel or subparallel depressions.

Apart from the Recent fluvial sediments and slope talus, the Quaternary deposits in the study area comprise Middle Pleistocene fluvial sand, gravel and locally silty glacial till (Przybylski et al., 2009) with a bulk thickness of up to 30–40 m (Miliewicz and Jerzmaniaśki, 1959; Miliewicz, 1961). The deposits cover a vast part of the Kaczawa Foothills in the vicinity of Czaple and are exploited in two gravel pits to the west of the village (Fig. 1B). Although not dated precisely, these deposits are generally considered to be a sedimentary residuum of the Odranian (Saalian) Middle Polish Glaciation, presently correlated with the Marine Isotope Stage 6 (MIS 6, 243–191 ka BP; Lindner and Marks, 2012).

The Odranian ice sheet, advancing from Scandinavia, covered nearly two-thirds of the Poland territory, except for its Carpathian south-eastern part (Gradiński et al., 2014; Marks et al., 2016). The areal extent of the Odranian ice sheet in south-western Poland is generally assumed to have reached the northern slopes of the Sudetic Mountains and it has been suggested that the ice-sheet front formed several topographically controlled lobes when invading the areas.

Fig. 1. Location of the study area. A. Morphological map of the Czaple area generated from LiDAR elevation data. The red square indicates location of the Czaple II Gravel Pit and the white arrows point elongate arcuate topographic ridges of Quaternary deposits. B. Upper part: Location of the study area in Poland. Lower part: Simplified sketch-map of the Czaple II Gravel Pit with outcrop localities 1–5 referred to in the text. C. Distribution of Quaternary deposits in the Czaple area in relation to bedrock geology; simplified and slightly modified compilation from Sztromwasser (1995), Badura (2005), Cymerman et al. (2005) and Kozdrój et al. (2005). Explanations of letter symbols: KMC – Kaczawa Metamorphic Complex; NSS – North Sudetic Synclinorium; JF – Jerzmanice Fault; SMF – Sudetic Marginal Fault.
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of the present-day Kaczawa and Izera foothills (Wójcik, 1985; Badura and Przybylski, 1998), but the exact palaeo-geographic extent of the ice sheet remains to be poorly documented. Therefore, all new pieces of field evidence, such as the present study, are highly valuable to this regional knowledge.

In the present study area near Czaple (Fig. 1A, B), the Pleistocene deposits rest unconformably on the bedrock assigned to the North Sudetic Synclinorium (NSS) and the Kaczawa Metamorphic Complex (KMC) (Fig. 1C). These pre-Cenozoic geological units at the north-eastern terminus of the Bohemian Massif are in the northern part of the Sudetic Block, which is an elevated complex horst structure bounded to the north by the Sudetic Marginal Fault from the down-thrown Fore-Sudetic Block (Zelaźniewicz et al., 2011). In the study area, the KMC rocks are unconformably overlain or tectonically covered by the NSS sedimentary rocks (Fig. 1C; see Cymerman, 2004).

The KMC consists of metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks that were strongly folded, faulted and weakly metamorphosed (lower/middle greenschist facies) during the Variscan orogeny (Baranowski et al., 1990; Kryza and Muszyński, 1992; Cymerman, 2002; Zelaźniewicz et al., 2011). The rocks are of Cambrian to Early Carboniferous age and include mainly phyllites, sericite schists, metasandstones, metamudstones, metalydites and crystalline limestones to marbles, as well as rocks that originated due to submarine volcanism and plutonism: pillow-lava metabasalts to marbles, as well as rocks that originated due to submarine volcanism and plutonism: pillow-lava metaba-

The NSS formed by the end-Cretaceous folding and faulting of the sedimentary-volcanic infill of the North Sudetic Basin, a post-Variscan sedimentary basin developed in the late Carboniferous to Early Carboniferous and included mainly phyllites, sericite schists, metasandstones, metamudstones, metalydites and crystalline limestones to marbles, as well as rocks that originated due to submarine volcanism and plutonism: pillow-lava metabasalts, basaltic tufts, metarhyolites, metahyodacides, metadolerites and metagabbros.

The recognition and description of deformation structures required detailed structural analysis combined with sedimentological and petrographical investigations. Measurements involved the spatial attitude of main structural surfaces and indices of ice-flow directions. These indices included mainly compressional deformation mesostructures created by ductile and brittle deformation (cf. Phillips, 2018): cylindrical and non-cylindrical folds, low-angle thrusts, both reverse and normal faults, sets of fractures, deformation bands, zones of brecciation as well as structures linked with hydroplastic sediment behaviour (such as diapirs, in-

The KMC and NSS bedrock in the study area is dissected by faults trending NW–SE and NE–SW, forming a system of horsts and grabens (Fig. 1C; Scupin, 1913, 1933; Beyer, 1933; Teisseyre et al., 1957). One of the most prominent faults in the NSS near Zlotołzyja is the Jerzmanic Fault that trends WNW–ESE, cuts the northern slopes of the Kopka hill to the north of Czaple (Fig. 1C) and separates rocks of different ages. Neotectonic activity of the Jerzmanic Fault and the Sudetic Marginal Fault in the vicinity of Zlotołzyja was inferred from morphometric analyses (Mastalerz and Wojewoda, 1990; Migoń and Łach, 1999; Badura et al., 2007).

**STUDY RESULTS**

**Sedimentology and provenance of the Pleistocene deposits**

The deposits exposed in the gravel pit are cross-stratified sands and gravels, interpreted earlier as fluvioglacial and attributed to the Ödranian (Saalian I) Glaciation (Milewicz and Jerzmański, 1959). The thickness distribution and areal extent of these deposits were recognized through the primary geological documentation work for the Czaple open-pit mine (Jarecki, 2009), when many shallow boreholes were drilled in the area. The data indicate a total thickness of up to ca. 30 m and show that they are both overlain and underlain by two distinct horizons of glacial till (see the lowermost and the uppermost till in Fig. 2). The lowermost glacial till is not exposed in the pit, but was found beneath the sand and gravel deposits in several bore-

**METHODS**

The present study, carried out in the Czaple II Gravel Pit in 2017–2018, was focused on the description and measurement of structural glaciers tectonic features in the Quaternary deposits. The deposits were macroscopically described and logged in the field with the use of standard sedimentologi-
Fig. 2. Synthetic sedimentary profile of Quaternary deposits in the Czaple II Gravel Pit, compiled from outcrop localities 1–5 (Fig. 1B). Indicated are main component sedimentary facies (letter code as the text), glaciotectonic features and lithological composition of gravel clasts (percentage data from localities 2 and 5).
holes (Jarecki, 2009). This till rests unconformably on an Upper Cretaceous bedrock (calcareous mudstones and claystones assigned to the middle Turonian; Milewicz, 1997) and is probably a relic of the Elsterian Glaciation (Sanian II, MIS12). The uppermost glacial till covers unconformably the sand and gravel deposits exposed in the gravel pit and most probably represents the Saalian Glaciation (Odanian, MIS 6).

Representative outcrop logs of the sand and gravel deposits, from localities 1–3 (Figs 1B, 2), show fining-upwards lenticular packages, 2 m to 4 m thick, composed of sheetlike beds that are 0.3 to 2 m thick and have a lateral extent of up to 5 m (Fig. 3A). The lower and upper bed boundaries are mainly sharp (erosional), less often gradational. The lower parts of bed sets consist typically of a moderately sorted, fine- and medium-grained (MPS = 12 cm), clast-supported gravel (facies Gm) that passes gradationally upwards into large-scale cross-stratified sand. Sand contains scattered well-rounded pebbles up to 5 cm in length and its cross-strata are inclined at ca. 15–20° towards the W and SW as the infill of asymmetrical trough-shaped erosional scours (facies SGe). The stratification is commonly deformed, with the main bedding surfaces showing a secondary inclination of 45° to 90° (Fig. 3A). The cross-stratified pebbly sand passes upwards into a finer-grained sand with planar (facies SGp) and trough cross-stratification (facies SGt; Fig. 3B), commonly covered by a weakly flat-laminated or structureless (facies Fsd) fine-grained deposit (sandy mud, mud or clay) up to 0.2 m thick.

This part of the sedimentary succession, with the fining-upwards bed packages, is interpreted as the deposits of laterally unstable, cut-and-fill braided fluvial channels. The gravel is apparently a channel lag and the inclined beds of sandy gravel and cross-stratified sand are interpreted as deposits of superimposed, offset-stacked braid bars, while the muddy to clayey capping represents channel abandonment. The measured approximate direction of these palaeochannels and their internal flow-direction indices suggest sediment transport from the SW and subordinately from the WSW (Fig. 2).

In the middle part of the pit (locality 4, Figs 1B, 2), this succession of gravel and sand is unconformably overlain by diffusely laminated or structureless, strongly folded, alternating muddy and silty deposits (facies Fsd) with sporadic intercalations of sand (facies Sd and Sh) 0.1 to 1 m in thickness. The total thickness of this package of fine-grained deposits is ca. 2–3 m, and they are interpreted as glaciotechnically deformed glaciolacustrine sediments.

A subordinate component of the sedimentary succession are layers of grey muddy to sandy diamicton, exposed in the northern part of the pit (locality 5, Fig. 1B). The diamictons range from laminated (facies Dd) to structureless (facies Dm), contain scattered pebbles and cobbles (Fig. 3C) and cover the above described other deposits. The diamicton unit in its best-preserved part is up 2 m thick, showing a sharp and probably erosional lower contact, locally with an undulating (deformed?) wavy geometry. The lowermost part of this unit consists of a stratified, silty to sandy diamicton with cobbles up to 15 cm in size, whereas the uppermost part consists of a structureless silty to sandy diamicton. In the middle part of the outcrop, the diamicton locally passes laterally into a sandy to gravelly diamicton (facies DS) that shows no evidence of deformation. This sandy diamicton shows narrow (up to 1 m in width) erosional runnels trending N–S (Fig. 3D) and filled with a disorderly mixture of gravel clasts up to 20 cm in size.

The heterogenous diamicton package is thought to comprise layers of both subglacial till (Shilts, 1976; Eyles et al., 1983; Brodzikowski and Van Loon, 1987) and superglacially derived melt-out flowtill (Hartshorn, 1958; Zieliński and Van Loon, 1999). This latter notion is supported by the evidence of non-deformed diamicton layers and by the occurrence of gravel-filled erosional water runnels within the diamicton package, which may jointly indicate local ice-front oscillations.

Gravel clasts over 4 mm in size were collected from the fluvioglacial succession and the overlying till unit for a petrographic and provenance analysis (Fig. 2). Two such samples from the lower part of fluvioglacial deposits comprised, respectively, 571 clasts of pebble size up to 32 mm in length and 249 clasts of pebble to small-cobble size up to 128 mm in length. A third sample, from the diamictic upper part of the succession, comprised 45 clasts of pebble to boulder size, up to 512 mm in length.

Most of the fluvioglacial gravel (>90%) appeared to be of a local provenance, although the percentage of various components varied with the clast size (Fig. 2). The most common components (25.3–36%) are vein quartz and clasts derived from the KMC bedrock assemblage (sericite schists, mudstones, metaladites, greenstones and quartzites). The second group of components are fragments of the NSS bedrock, including its Permain sandstones (up to 2.5%) and volcanic rocks (rhyolites 2.5–3.6%, trachyandesites and trachybasalts 0–1.2%), Permo-Triassic sandstones (0.2–0.4%) and Cretaceous sandstones (4–6.3%), with a small admixture of Cenozoic basalts (1.1–1.2%). Notable is the contribution of rock debris derived from the adjacent geological units, such as the Izera gneisses (3.2–3.6%) and the Karkonosze and Szczegom-Sobótka granitoids (4–6%). Erratic clasts are rare, represented by the Scandinavian Rapakivi granites (up to 4%) and the Jurassic or Cretaceous cherts (1.4–2.6%) derived probably from the northern Polish Lowlands.

The overlying glacial till unit similarly contains mainly local rock debris: greenstones (up to 22%), mica and siliceous schists (6.7%), quartzites (2.2%) and vein quartz (up to 2.2%), derived from the KMC. Relatively more abundant are erratic Scandinavian granitoids (17.8%) and cherts from Polish Lowlands (4.4%). Richer represented are also Sudetic granitoids (15.6%) from the Karkonosze and Szczegom-Sobótka massifs, as well as gneisses (8.9%) derived probably from the Karkonosze-Izera Massif. Admixture of local non-metamorphic rocks includes Cretaceous (8.9%) and Permo-Triassic sandstones (6.7%) as well as Cenozoic basalts (4.4%) derived from the NSS area.

**Glaciotechnic deformation**

Three main types of macroscopic glaciotechnic structures have been recognized in the Czaple II Gravel Pit:
Fig. 3. Sedimentary and structural features of Pleistocene deposits in the Czaple II Gravel Pit. A. Large-scale cross-stratification in sand and gravel (lithofacies SGt and GSe) at locality 3, associated with asymmetrical erosional troughs (white dashed lines) trending NNE–SSW. Deposits tilted by glaciotectonic deformation. B. Cross-stratified pebbly sand (facies SGt/SGp) underlain by cross-stratified gravel (facies Gt) at locality 2. C. Undulating, deformed basal contact of the upper till unit (massive diamicton, facies Dm) at locality 5. The underlying deposits are fine-grained, horizontally stratified sands (facies Sh). D. Composite sandy till (facies Ds, Sm and Dm) at locality 3, with its base (white dashed line) showing narrow scours up to 1 m wide and trending N–S orientation. The scours are filled with disorganized cobble to boulder gravel (see inset close-up detail).

- strongly dislocated and imbricated thrust sheets composed of fluvial gravel and sand and bounded by steeply inclined reverse faults or thrusts, with such internal secondary structures as reverse or normal dip-slip faults and fault-related folds from centimetres to several metres in size as well as sets of conjugate fractures, tectonic breccias and diapirs.
- asymmetrical, cylindrical to non-cylindrical folds, subordinately disharmonic, as well as sheath folds, found only in the fine-grained argillaceous deposits in the northern part of the pit.
- shear structures observed in the lowermost part of the glacial till unit and – like the fold structures – representing typical ductile deformation.

Faults and associated structures

The main structural features observed in the pit outcrop (localities 1–3, Fig. 1B) are reverse faults and thrusts that bound thrust-sheet slices (Fig. 4A, B). The thickness of individual thrust sheets ranges from 0.3 to ca. 4 m. Reverse faults and thrusts show mainly listric or planar geometry (Fig. 4C) and are concordant or striking obliquely to the main bedding surfaces. The fault planes of thrusts show ENE–WSW and NE–SW strike trends and a dip of 10–30° towards the NNW and NW, respectively. Reverse faults are steeper, inclined at 45–80° towards the NW, and show an ENE-WSW strike trend. The offset along the main thrust planes is estimated roughly at 4 to 5 m, but is difficult to measure exactly due to the lack of recognizable marker horizons in the sliced deposits. The amount of total displacement can be determined solely on the surfaces of small-scale thrusts and reverse faults, and apparently does not exceed 2 m.

It is worth mentioning that reverse faults at localities 1–3 (Fig. 1B) occur frequently in the fine-grained argillaceous intercalations within the sand-gravel unit (Fig. 4C). Sub-vertical fault planes developed in these sediments are
Fig. 4. Reverse faults and thrust sheets in the Czaple II Gravel Pit at localities 1 and 2. A, B. Outcrop photograph with an interpretive sketch showing NW- and NNW-dipping reverse faults and thrusts bounding thrust-sheet slices. Thrust-sheet boundaries are mainly in silty and clayey sediments (brown). Note that reversed faults are cut and displaced by normal dip-slip faults (blue). C. Reverse fault developed within silty to clayey deposits in the lower part of the pit. The listric fault plane is strongly polished and striated, showing slickensides and steps (see inset close-up detail). Visible also are low-angle R-shears (white lines) associated with the fault surface. D. Stereoplots (pole-point great-circle contour diagrams) of the orientation of reversed fault (left) and bedding surfaces (right) within fluvioglacial deposits. The arrow indicates interpreted direction of ice-sheet movement (compression). E, F. Outcrop photograph and interpretive sketch of a fault-propagation fold (hanging-wall anticline) developed above the NW-dipping surface of reverse fault (red line).
strongly polished and striated, especially in the lower part of the pit. They dip at 45°–70° towards the NW (Fig. 4D) and show slickensides, striae and steps that clearly indicate a reverse sense of movement (Fig. 4C). Low-angle R-shears are associated with the fault surfaces in some cases (Fig. 4C). In contrast, fault surfaces formed within sand and gravel are not well visible, merely highlighted by thin zones of secondary silicification and enrichment in iron- and manganese-oxides (deformation bands; cf. Fossen et al., 2007). These zones range from a few centimetres to 0.3 m in thickness. Minor fault-related secondary features include narrow breccia zones that occur both above and below the fault surface. They are manifested as strongly fractured and sheared sets of clustered boulders that form apparent gravel lags.

Locally observed at locality 1 (Fig. 1B) are minor folds related to fault surfaces (Fig. 4E, F). They involve fault-propagation folds and fault-bend folds with gently inclined axes trending W–E. Fault-propagation folds occur particularly near the bottom of the pit, where hanging-wall anticlines developed in association with main thrust surfaces. These SE-verging anticlines formed above the planar or listric surfaces of inverse faults dipping at 45°–80° towards the NW.

The sand-gravel deposits at localities 1–3 (Fig. 1B) show also single or conjugate sets of normal dip-slip faults (Fig. 5). These normal faults, striking W–E or WNW–ESE, cut across and displace thrust sheets as well as their bounding surfaces of reverse faults or thrusts (Fig. 5A, B, F). The surfaces of the normal faults are typically planar or curved (listric) and dipping at 45°–90° towards the S or more commonly to the SSW. These dip-slip faults show a throw of a few centimetres to as much as 1.5 m (Fig. 5A, B).

Other evidence of brittle deformation in the lower part of the pit are numerous normal faults striking W–E and dipping at up to 70° to the NNE, as well as smaller antithetic faults dipping to the SSW at up to 45°. Close to the fault surfaces at locality 1 (Fig. 1B) occur low-angle or even horizontal fractures (Fig. 5C, D) that show little evidence of displacement, with an offset of no more than a few millimetres. These structures and the fault surfaces are accentuated by wide zones of brecciation and enrichment in iron oxides (Fig. 5C, E).

Fold structures

Folds and related small-scale structures produced by ductile (hydroplastic) deformation of unconsolidated sediment occur mainly at locality 4 (Fig. 1B) in the northern part of the pit outcrop, where they formed in the heterogenous fine-grained deposits below the glacial till unit. Well-developed assemblages of folds were observed there in 2017, about 1–2 m below the till unit (Fig. 6). The lamination surfaces of these argillaceous deposits intercalated with sand are parallel to the till lower boundary, but strongly sheared and plastically deformed. Folds are mainly asymmetrical and recumbent, with axes trending W–E and WSW–ENE (Fig. 6A). The amplitudes of folds are up to 1 m and their axial planes are inclined at ca. 15°–25° towards the NW (Fig. 6B). Interlayers of fine-grained sand (facies Sh) are frequently exposed in the crosscut sections of fold hinges. Smaller-scale parasitic folds, with an amplitude range from several millimetres to ca. 5 cm, occur in the intercalated silt and clay within the fold hinge zones (Fig. 6C). The axes of these folds are inclined towards the N or NW. Sand interlayers show tight isoclinal folds with an amplitude of ca. 0.3 m and limbs steeply inclined at 80°–90° to the NW and SE (Fig. 6D).

Some of the folds in fine-grained facies FSd show a geometry typical of non-cylindrical, sheath folds formed by shearing (Fig. 6E, F). Fold axes are inclined at 5° to 35° towards the WNW or ESE, with the fold limbs inclined at varied angles toward the NW and SE. Locally observed were also eye-shaped sheath folds (Fig. 6E, F), or eye-type folds (cf. Alsop and Holdsworth, 2006), with their longest axes parallel to the NW–SE and N–S shearing directions (cf. Fossen, 2010).

Deformation structures in glacial till

Deformation structures were observed also in small outcrops of the lowermost part of the till unit (see Fig. 2) at locality 5 (Fig. 1B). This part of the unit consists of stratified, silty to sandy diamictons with cobbles up to 15 cm in size and deformed sand and silt lenses. Well-developed is shear foliation dipping at 20°–45° towards the NW, with rotated pebbles and sheared cobbles plunging obliquely to the shear surfaces at an angle of up to 50° (Fig. 7A). Pronounced alignment of the long axes of scattered gravel clasts indicates shear direction towards the SE (Fig. 7A). Present also are water-escape structures as well as sand diapirs and parallel sheeted dykes, some of them fragmented and turned into ‘rolled’ sand clasts (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

Glaciotectonic deformation

The observed deformation structures show a wide range of varieties and scales (Figs 3–8), which apparently reflects the lithological and rheological variability of the deformed deposits. The analysis of these structures, based on widely used conventional criteria (cf. Christiansen and Whittaker, 1976; Aber et al., 1989; Pedersen, 2000; Aber and Ber, 2007; Evans, 2007; Phillips et al., 2008; Benediktsson et al., 2008; Włodarski, 2009; Phillips et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2013), allowed reconstruction of the kinematic history and physical conditions of the sediment deformation – attributed to glaciotectonics. The deposits are in their primary contact with bedrock, show no evidence of modern landslide processes and, although undated, have long been regarded as a part of the regional Pleistocene ice-age sedimentary cover (Milewicz and Jerzmanowski, 1959; Milewicz, 1961; Badura and Przybylski, 2002; Jarecki, 2009; Przybylski et al., 2009). Exotic rock-debris components derived from Scandinavia and Polish Lowlands confirm that the sediments in the study area came from the Pleistocene ice sheet (Badura and Przybylski, 1998; Przybylski et al., 2009). However, their glaciotectonic deformation was overlooked by previous regional studies (see reviews by Ber, 2004, 2006).

Features such as imbricate thrust-sheet fans in proglacial deposits (Figs 4, 8) are typical glaciotectonic structures representing the direct effect of ice-margin push during the glacier advance, with fine-grained argillaceous sediments
Fig. 5. Normal dip-slip faults at localities 1 and 2 in the Czaple II Gravel Pit. A, B. Outcrop photograph with an interpretive sketch showing a set of normal dip-slip faults (blue lines) with offset of up to 1.5 m. C, D. Outcrop photograph with an interpretive sketch showing normal dip-slip faults and smaller antithetic faults, trending W–E and dipping at high angle in opposite directions (mainly to the WNW). Note that close to the fault surfaces occur low-angle or even horizontal fractures with no evidence of displacement. Fault and fracture surfaces are accentuated by zones of brecciation and enrichment in iron oxides. E. Small-scale dip-slip fault with a few centimetre offset and an associated narrow shear-breccia zone (shear band) marked by white dotted lines. F. Stereoplots (pole-point great-circle contour diagrams) of the orientation of normal dip-slip fault surfaces (left) and related sets of conjugate faults and fractures (right) in glaciotectonised fluvial deposits; note antithetic faults and horizontal fractures.
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Fig. 6. Fold structures at locality 4 in the Czaple II Gravel Pit. A. Recumbent fold (axis trending WSW–ENE) in glaciolacustrine deposits. Note that the fine-grained sand interlayers (facies SFd) exposed within the fold hinge. B. Large, SSE-overturned recumbent fold in laminated glaciolacustrine deposits (facies FSd) below the upper till unit. The inset stereoplot shows orientation of recumbent fold axes in glaciolacustrine deposits. C. Small parasitic folds in the hinge zone of a large recumbent fold. D. Tight isoclinal folds in planar parallel-stratified sand (facies Sh). E. Sheath fold with a complex geometry in fine-grained glaciolacustrine deposits (facies FSd). The main fold axis is trending NW–SE, parallel to the shearing direction towards the viewer (cf. Fossen, 2010). F. Cross-section through a typical sheath fold (eye-type fold, cf. Alsop and Holdsworth, 2006). The N–S fold axis is parallel to the shearing direction (towards the viewer).
Fig. 7. Deformation structures in the lowermost part of the glacial till unit (locality 5). A. Deformed laminated diamicton of facies DGS(d), with scattered pebbles and cobbles, in the till unit at locality 5. Note the rotated and sheared basaltic cobble. The inset stereoplot shows measurements of the orientation of gravel clasts long axes (clast fabric) compared with the interpreted direction of ice-sheet movement. B. Deformation features in massive diamicton (facies Dm) at locality 5, with rolled-up sand clasts aligned within water-escape structure.

Fig. 8. Outcrop section at locality 2 in the central part of Czaple II Gravel Pit, with corresponding interpretive sketches, showing spatial distribution and relationships of the main glaciotectonic structures described in this paper (for further details, see text).
Fig. 9. Extent and advance direction of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet in Lower Silesia postulated by various authors for the Saalian and Elsterian glaciations (based on Ber, 2006). Digital terrane-elevation model from Aster GDEM (v2) software.
acting as lubricant layers for shearing (cf. Phillips, 2018). Kinematic analysis in the present case indicates thrusting direction towards the SSE and SE. The basal detachment (floor thrust) of the thrust-sheet deformation wedge is unexposed within the depth limit of the pit outcrop, and hence it is uncertain if the deformation reached the local bedrock at a depth of up to 30–40 m (cf. Milewicz and Jerzmański, 1959; Milewicz, 1961). This possibility is likely, as the depth extent of glaciotectonic deformation in the southern part of Lower Silesia has been regionally estimated at ca. 50 m (Ber et al., 2004).

The subglacial till unit above the thrust-sheet pile indicates that the ice margin had overridden its push-formed thrust wedge after a modest initial bulldozing effect (cf. Aber et al., 1989). This implies a modest magnitude of thrust-wedge shortening and upward growth. The glacier overriding the thrust-sheet wedge was probably sliding over it, with the main strain dissipated within the basal till and the deeper deformation declining downwards (cf. Boulton and Hindmarsh, 1987; Benn, 1995; Boulton, 1996). At least some of the secondary features within the thrust-sheet wedge can be attributed to this stage of secondary deformation. For example, the secondary folds associated with faults (fault-propagation folds; cf. Brandes and Le Heron, 2010) in the thrust sheets occur above the fault surfaces in their hanging walls and are developed as a compensation of excess fault slip in conditions of an increased normal stress (Brandes and Le Heron, 2010). The SSE vergence of these secondary folds is consistent with the kinematics of the fault planes and the SE direction of ice-sheet movement (Fig. 4E, F). Similarly, the S- and SSW-dipping normal dip-slip faults that cut across the thrust sheets and displace their bounding fault planes (Figs 5A, B, 8) may be related to the normal stress of the advancing ice mass (cf. Aber, 1982). This interpretation is supported by the evidence of low-angle contractual joints and nearly horizontal small faults (Fig. 5C, D) that formed due to vertical compression. This implies that the associated normal faults, antithetic faults and horizontal fractures form conjugate sets (Fig. 5D, F) developed under the same stress conditions (e.g. Peacock et al., 2016). Secondary glaciotectonic structures include also the SSE-dipping dip-slip faults with small throw (Fig. 5E) that formed in the frontal parts of thrusts sheets and are consistent with the SE direction of ice movement (cf. Włodarski, 2009).

The complex pattern of deformation in the till unit and the underlying fine-grained glaciolacustrine deposits is typical of a subglacial shear zone (van den Wateren et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2008), where deformation occurs as simple shear under the load of an advancing glacier. The sets of SE-verging recumbent folds, parasitic folds and sheet folds (Fig. 6A, B) are consistent with a SE-directed progressive compression including normal-stress component. This interpretation is supported by the pattern of shear planes and the fabric of rotated and sheared gravel clasts in the till unit (Fig. 7).

**Regional implications**

It has long been recognized that the Scandinavian Ice Sheet reached Sudetes at least twice (Woldstedt, 1932; Lindner 1939; Dumanowski, 1950; Szczepankiewicz, 1952; Walczak, 1954; Jahn, 1960), but its exact areal extent and number of advances at this southern extremity remain poorly known and controversial. For example, Michniewicz et al. (1996) postulated one single ice-sheet advance reaching Sudetes, labelled as the South-Polish Glaciation (Elsterian, MIS 12), whereas Badura and Przybylski (1998) had recognized as many as three separate glacial-till levels in the Lower Silesia. The whole issue is further complicated by the fact that a reliable dating of the Pleistocene terrestrial glacial deposits is practically impossible. The present study follows the prevalent view that the deposits in the study area represent the Odranian Stadial (Saalian I, MIS 6) of the Mid-Polish Glaciations (Przybylski et al., 2009). The lowermost glacial-till unit, known from boreholes and unexposed in the gravel pit, is thought to be a relic of the South Polish Glaciation (Elsterian, MIS 12).

It may then seem puzzling that the deformed fluvial-glacial deposits in the outcrop section show mainly northward palaeoflow directions, opposite to those expected for a proglacial outwash plain (cf. Edwards, 1978) suggested by previous authors (Milewicz and Jerzmański, 1959; Przybylski et al., 2009). However, it should be kept in mind that the Scandinavian Ice Sheet at its Sudetic extremity was effectively climbing onto a mountainous terrane that certainly hosted a large snowpack and possibly some Alpine-type small ice caps, and that their meltwater release would likely dominate the terrane during the climate amelioration and ice-sheet retreat. The fluvial sedimentation in the study area occurred during an interglacial episode of ‘deglaciation’ (cf. Szponar, 1986), between the Sanian II Glaciation represented by the lower (unexposed) till unit and the Saalian I Glaciation represented by the upper till unit. The interglacial sedimentation in the Sudetic foothill highland would then likely be dominated by N-directed fluvial drainage that virtually reworked the glacier’s own outwash, leaving only its minor relics. This interpretive notion is supported by the compositional ‘dilution’ of exotic northerly rock debris in fluvial deposits (Fig. 2) and the palaeocurrent data from these deposits (see earlier text). The topographically controlled interglacial amalgamation of mountain-derived and glacier-derived outwash, amplified by the subsequent Odranian glaciotectonic deformation, may explain further the relatively great thickness of Pleistocene deposits in the study area – the main reason for their local mining.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present study documents large-scale glaciotectonic deformation of Pleistocene deposits exposed in the Czaple II Gravel Pit of Lower Silesia, SW Poland, and thereby contributes to the existing sparse knowledge on the regional extent and dynamics of the Scandinavian Ice-Sheet front at its southernmost reaches in the mountainous region of Sudetes.

The Pleistocene sedimentary succession in the study area consists of glaciotectonically deformed fluvial outwash and lacustrine deposits sandwiched between two separate units of subglacial till. The study postulates that the fluvial to lacustrine sedimentation occurred at the interglacial stage
between the Sanian II and Saalian I (Odranian) ice-sheet regional advances.

The study demonstrates that the meltwater drainage from the snowpack and possible small icecaps of the Sudetes prevailed over the meltwater drainage from the retreating ice sheet, resulting in a paradoxical outwash succession dominated by fluvial transport directed towards the ice-sheet front.

The study documents an interesting interplay of proglacial sedimentation and proglacial to subglacial deformation, where the lithology of interglacial sediments and the regional bedrock topography played an important role. The Odranian ice-sheet advance in the study area involved an initial phase of substrate deformation by simple push-type bulldozing, followed quickly — due to a lubricant argillaceous substrate — by an overriding by the glacier and a load-and-shear style of subglacial deformation. The structural heterogeneity of the Odranian till unit suggests further that its glaciotectonic deformation may have been multiple, recording shorter-term oscillations of the ice-sheet front.
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